Mr. M. S. Sreshta :—" In the case of teachers who have not reached the maximum of the old scales, may I ask whether the Hon. Minister realizes that they will be prejudicially affected by the new scales? "

The Hon. the Raja of Bobbili :—" Yes, I do."

Recovery of leave salaries from ex-taluk board servants as per G.O. No. 1510, L. & M., dated 15th April 1935.

* 249 *Q.*—Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder : Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether a Government Order (No. 4471, L. & M., dated 6th October 1934) has been passed in the matter of regulating leave to the ex-taluk board servants;

(*b*) if so, whether a copy of it will be placed on the table;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that many a servant of the ex-taluk boards has been allowed to enjoy the leave at his credit on the basis of this Government Order;

(*d*) whether a Government Order (No. 1510, L. & M., dated 15th April 1935) has been passed again regulating the leave and pension of the servants of the ex-taluk boards;

(*e*) if so, whether a copy of it will be placed on the table;

(*f*) whether it is a fact that recoveries of leave salaries drawn by the servants of ex-taluk boards after they came into the district board service on the basis of the former Government Order (i.e., G.O. No. 4471, L. & M., dated 6th October 1934) are now ordered on the basis of the latter Government Order (i.e., G.O. No. 1510, L. & M., dated 15th April 1935);

(*g*) if so, in how many cases such recoveries have been ordered, who the person concerned in each recovery is, what his pay and length of service under the taluk board are and what the amount involved in each case of recovery is;

(*h*) whether any representations have been received in this behalf; and

(*i*) if so, from whom and how they have been disposed of?

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the appendix to the answer to his question No. 555 answered on the 26th January 1935.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) & (*e*) The G.O. No. 1510, L. & M., dated the 15th April 1935, which is appended [a], relates only to the grant of compensation pension, to the discharged pensionable employees of the late taluk boards. No orders in regard to leave were passed in modification of G.O. No. 4471, L. & M., dated the 6th October 1934.

[a] Printed as Appendix VI (1) on pages 112–113 infra.

(*f*) & (*g*) The doubts raised by certain presidents of district boards in regard to the applicability of G.O. No. 4471, L. & M., dated the 6th October 1934, after the issue of G.O. No. 1510, L. & M., dated the 15th April 1935, were removed by the issue of G.O. No. 3314, L. & M., dated the 16th August 1935 (copy placed on the table) [a], with reference to which the leave salary regularly drawn by ex-taluk board servants after they were absorbed in district board service, is not liable to be recovered from them.

(*h*) No.

(*i*) Does not arise.

Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder:—" Sir, in answer to clauses (*f*) and (*g*) the Government have said: 'The doubts raised by *certain* presidents of district boards . . .' May I know how many presidents of district boards have raised doubts with regard to this matter? "

The Hon. the Raja of Bobbili:—" I am afraid the information is not available with me."

Religious and Charitable Endowments

Appointment of a candidate as Commissioner of the Hindu Religious Endowments Board from outside the list submitted by the Selection Committee.

* 250 *Q.*—Mr. P. V. Krishnayya Choudari: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Government have not appointed as Commissioner of the Hindu Religious Endowments Board a candidate who was selected by the Committee constituted for the selection of candidates for the post;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that a certain Government official has been appointed in his place;

(*c*) if so, what is the pay of the officer at present and what is the pay he will draw as Commissioner; and

(*d*) what is the amount of contribution payable towards his pension?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer given to question No. 36.

(*c*) The pay of Mr. Padmagirisa Ayyar who has been appointed as one of the Commissioners was Rs. 550 per mensem. His present pay as a Commissioner of the Hindu Religious Endowments Board is Rs. 650 per mensem.

(*d*) The rate of contribution payable towards the pension of the officer is Rs. 93-8-0 per mensem from the date of his relief in Government service and Rs. 102 per mensem from 1st April 1936 onwards.

---

[a] Printed as Appendix VI (2) on pages 113–114 infra.